

The Dean's Fund for Innovation in Medical Education Evaluation Form

Evaluation Procedure

Comments should be supported by examples from the proposal if appropriate, including page numbers

Scoring

Assign a numerical rating 1-5; **1 = lowest score and 5 = highest score**

Scores should represent your objective opinion of the proposal's quality and merit

Proposal Title:

PI:

I. The AME seeks to support innovative and collaborative research that enhances the field of medical education

Criteria: The proposal should include background information which establishes a clear need for innovative and collaborative research that will advance the current field of medical education

	Poor -----> Excellent				
Project describes an innovation in medical education	1	2	3	4	5
Potential to impact medical education	1	2	3	4	5
Potential to impact patient care, directly or indirectly	1	2	3	4	5
Degree to which project could serve as a model for other initiatives	1	2	3	4	5
Clear plan for wide dissemination of results	1	2	3	4	5
Sustainability post-funding	1	2	3	4	5

COMMENTS:

II. Adequacy of the research design

Criteria: The proposal should describe a sound and feasible research design which includes appropriate methods, specific outcome measures, a realistic timeline, and an appropriate budget

	Poor -----> Excellent				
Proposal is based on a clearly-articulated research question	1	2	3	4	5
Proposal is grounded in relevant literature which is cited and summarized appropriately	1	2	3	4	5
Research methods are appropriate to address the research question	1	2	3	4	5
Data collection and analysis are appropriate for proposed research question	1	2	3	4	5
Measurable outcomes are clearly described	1	2	3	4	5
Budget is realistic for proposed research project	1	2	3	4	5
Timeline is realistic for proposed funding period	1	2	3	4	5
Project is overall feasible with proposed budget, timeline, and available resources	1	2	3	4	5

COMMENTS:

III. Qualifications of the principal investigator and co-investigators

Criteria: The supporting biosketches and letters of support clearly identify the qualifications of each project team member to complete the proposed research project

	Poor -----> Excellent				
Qualifications of Principal Investigator (PI) and co-investigators to lead this study	1	2	3	4	5
PI has documented support of departmental and/or system leadership	1	2	3	4	5

COMMENTS:

EVALUATOR'S SUMMARY STATEMENT:

Overall, would you recommend this research project be funded by the AME?

Yes No

If No, please explain in a summary statement.